

PAPER NAME

**VIOLATION MAXIMS IN DAINE STAUDTE
INTERROGATION ON RED THREE CRIME
YOUTUBE VIDEO.docx**

AUTHOR

Melina Angraini

WORD COUNT

5886 Words

CHARACTER COUNT

29631 Characters

PAGE COUNT

16 Pages

FILE SIZE

58.5KB

SUBMISSION DATE

Jul 8, 2023 7:33 PM GMT+7

REPORT DATE

Jul 8, 2023 7:33 PM GMT+7

● **11% Overall Similarity**

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 11% Internet database
- 6% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database

**PELANGGARAN MAKSIM DALAM INTEROGASI DIANE STUADTE DI VIDEO
YOUTUBE RED THREE CRIME**

**VIOLATION MAXIMS IN DAINE STAUDTE INTERROGATION ON RED THREE
CRIME YOUTUBE VIDEO**

Melina Angraini
Universitas Abdurachman Saleh Situbondo
anggrainimelina29@gmail.com

Abstrak

Penelitian ini bertujuan (1) untuk menganalisa tipe maksim yang dilanggar oleh Diane Staudte dalam proses interogasinya, dan (2) mengetahui alasan Diane Staudte melakukan pelanggaran maksims. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode kualitatif dan menggunakan video interogasi di channel YouTube milik Red Three Crime yang berjudul Intterogation of A Psychopathic Woman. Dalam penelitian ini, peneliti menggunakan teori Cooperative Principle Grice's Maxim oleh Grice (1975) untuk menganalisa tipe pelanggaran maksim, dan menggunakan teori milik Natalia dan Christoffersen (2005) untuk mengetahui alasan-alasannya. Peneliti menyimpulkan, Diane Staudte melanggar empat tipe maksims diantaranya : Maksim kualitas, Maksim Kuantitas, Maksim Relasi, Maksim Pelaksanaan. Diane Staudte paling sering melakukan pelanggaran Maksims Pelaksanaan. Pelanggaran maksims yang dilakukan Diane Staudte dikarenakan dia ingin: Menutupi Kebenaran, Melindungi Muka, Memuaskan si Pendengar, Menyenangkan si Pendengar, Membangun Kepercayaan, dan Meyakinkan si Pendengar. Alasan utama Diane Staudte melakukan pelanggaran maksim untuk Menutupi Kebenaran.

Kata Kunci : Diane Staudte, Pelanggran maksim, Video interogasi

Abstract

This research conduct (1) to analyze type of violation maxims by Diane Staudte during her interrogation, and (2) to identify reasons Diane Staudte violated maxims. This research use quality method amd use an Interrogation of A Psychopathic Woman video in Red Three Crime YouTube channel. In this research, the researcher uses Cooperative Principle Grice's Maxims by Grice (1975) to analyze type of violation maxims, dan Christoffersen (2005) to identify the reasons. The researcher concluded that Diane Staudte violated four type of maxims, which are : violation maxim of quality, violation maxim of quantity, violation maxim of relation, and violation maxim of manner. The most dominant type violated by Diane Staudte is maxim of manner. She violated maxims in order to Hede the Truth, Save Face, Satisfying the Addressee, Pleasing the Addressee, Building One's Belief, and Convincing the Addressee. The most dominant reason is Hide the Truth.

Key words : Diane Staudte, Interrogaton Video, Vilation of maxims

I. INTRODUCTION

An interrogation is done by detective on the special room which CCTV and audio recorder to find out any information about a case. The detective will asks some questions related to the case. The goal of interrogation is to figure it out about a criminal case. Interrogation commonly uses coercive communication technique, a technique of communication in form of treats, sanctions, and others. So, the detective commonly forces, treats, and bark out the suspect during interrogation. In interrogation. The detective will deliver some question to analyze the suspect's utterances that indicated untruthful. In linguistics study, to interpret the meaning of utterances in conversation is used pragmatic approach.

(Mbisike, 2021) stated, pragmatics is a study in language use. Pragmatics is concerned with the study of language in relation with the context of performance. Pragmatics deals with utterance interpretation within a particular context. It focus one the use aspect of meaning which depend on the speaker, addressee and another figure of context utterance. Pragmatics shows time, place, who is uttered, and to whom utterance is uttered. In pragmatics to understand about the utterance need some principles that should be obeyed by the participant in communication, it is called as cooperative principles.

In conversation, people should obey the cooperative principles. Cooperative Principles describe how people achieve conversational communication effectively in common social situation. So, the speaker and the addressee can accept cooperatively and mutually to be understood in a particular way.

In cooperative principle, there are maxims that should be obeyed. The maxim is purposed by Grice, used to interpret meaning uttered by people in their daily conversation. The Grice's Maxims is concerning to suggest what people assumption on their utterances that applied in communication. According to (Thomas, 2013), there are four types of Maxims; (1) Maxims of Quality, the speaker should be truthful, (2) Maxims of Quantity, the speaker should be as possibly informative and gives as much information as is necessary, (3) Maxims of Relation the speaker should delivers things that are pertinent to the discussion, which means is relevant, and (4) Maxims of Manner, the speaker avoids obscurity and ambiguity.

In the conversation, people might think that the utterances will generally as informative, straigh the point, have the right amount informative, relevant and clear . However, in the conversation, people sometimes violate the maxims by not telling about the truth, less or over talk, out of the topic, or ambiguity. The maxims will assist people to

interpret the implicit meaning it have and it is calculabe. (Pradani & Sembodo, 2020) aimed, the function of violation maxims here help to understand that there must be at least a reson why the speaker chooses to violate instead of following the cooperative principle roles when in conversation.

Based on the description in the background of study above, the research formulated the research questions as follows:

1. What maxims does Diane Staudte in Interrogation of a Psychopathic Woman violate?
2. Why Diane Staudte violated maxims during interrogation in *Interrogation of a Psychopathic Woman*?

In this research, the researcher chose “Interrogation of A Psychopathic Woman” on the Red Three Crime YouTube channel as the object of research which indicate violation of Maxims from Diane Staudte’s utterances during her interrogation.

The Staudte Family Murder case is refers to Diane Staudte, a woman who murdered her husband, only son, and daughter by poisoned them with anti-freez within five-months period in 2012. After Sarah, Diane’s daughter ran out to ICU, She been arrested in 2013. She was sentenced to life in jail without any parole in 2016. On her interview with ABC 20/20 she claimed that is innocent. The researcher took the video because the researcher find out that the case of murdered is interesting and the researcher was very curios how a mother could be so calm during the interrogation after killed her children and husband.

1. ² VIOLATION MAXIM OF QUALITY

The certain of violation maxim of quality are presenting mistaken messages, or the people convey the information untruthfully. Giving the correct explanation and delivering the information in truth is the determination of maxim quality, refers to maxim of quality. A violation maxim of quality must be followed by necessitates utterances that are both true and supported by sufficient evidence (Maulana & Malihah, 2022).

2. ⁹ VIOLATION MAXIM OF QUANTITY

In violation maxim of quantity, someone should provide information as required. Violation maxim of quantity have been broken when the someone provides more or less information than is requested (Maulana & Malihah, 2022).

3. ² VIOLATION MAXIM OF RELATION

Violation maxim of relation is when a maxim occurs; someone does not reply the question related to the topic of discourse. Violation maxim of manner occurs when someone change the topic dissuccion. This precept necessitates that the speaker be knowledgeable about subject at hand (Maulana & Malihah, 2022).

4. VIOLATION MAXIM OF MANNER

Violation maxim of manner occurs when the addressee reply the question by providing an ambiguous answer. The conversation should not to be ambiguous, blur, or distracted are the ways of participant to contribute the maxim of manner; the question answer is an ambiguous and distracted answer.

In this research, the researcher relates the reasons of violating maxims admitted eight reason that the speaker doing violation maxims purpose by Tupan and Natalia (2008).

1. Hide the Truth

(Krish conceal his real name to a girl who he has crush on her at the first meeting)

Chloe: what is your name?

Krish: I am Harrold, Harrold Sheldon.

Based on the dialogue, we know that Krish violate the maxims to cover the truth about his real name.

2. Save Face

(Before Raya text her lecture, she disguise herself)

Raya: I apologize, Mr. Down for bothering your time, do you have some schedule for tomorrow sir? May I come to your office for discussing my thesis?

As the sample text above, we know that Raya conceals herself by conveying apologize at the beginning of text.

3. Feel Jealous About Something

(Kemal is being lie when Zooya asked him about where is Noah)

Zooya: have you seen Noah?

Kemal: nope, I do not know where he is.

Based on the dialogue above, we know that Kemal is being jealous to Zooya as the cause he violate maxims of quality.

4. Satisfying the addressee

(A dialogue between teachers with her 7 years young student)

Jena: Mam, am I smart as them?

Teacher: sure, you are smart, you are as genius as Einstein.

The teacher is violating maxims of manner, because the student does not know whom Einstein is. Yet, if the teacher decided to tell answer to satisfy her student.

5. Pleasing the Addressee

(A daughter asked her mom about a dish that its taste is sour)

Daughter: how about the taste, mom?

Mom: Wow, this is tasty. Is this tasty, dad?

Dad: yes, this is delicious.

14 Based on the conversation above, it shows us that the mom violates maxim of quality by not telling the truth for pleasing her daughter.

6. Avoiding Hurting the Addressee

(Yoo Ji's cat is death and his sister does not want to hurt her 5 years young brother)

Yoo Ji: Where is my cat, noona? I have not seen him since yesterday morning.

Yoo Sa: Yoo Ka took your cat for walking around and he have not repatriated yet.

As the sample showed that, Yoo Sa violates maxim of quality because she will not hurt her little brother by giving him incorrect information.

7. Building one's Belief

(Juan asked her girlfriend, Dee, whether she still remember her ex or not. His girlfriend lies to him and makes him to believe her at all)

Juan: I guess if you cannot move on with your ex, because I saw his photo on your computer desktop wallpaper.

Dee: off course not. You know, you are the only one in my heart honey. He is not him; he is my cousin who is look like him.

The cause why Dee violate maxim is, she want to make him believe on her. In fact, she still loves her ex and the picture is on her computer desktop computer is belongs to her ex. She does not explain the truth.

8. Convincing the Addressee

(Dwita asked for some help to her friend Alex. Yet, he rejects it by explaining some reason)

Dwita: can you help me?

Alex: I apologize Dwita, I cannot help you, and I am so exhausted right now.

The cause why violate maxim of quality is to convince Dwita that he cannot help her by giving some reason to make her believe

The researcher discovered some similar previous researches with this current research. The first previous study was conducted by Purnomo (2017). Her thesis tittle is "An Analysis of the Violation of Grice's Maxims on The Boys Movie Script". The author focused to find out violation of Grice's Maxims by the speakers disclosure and analyze the reasons of the violation. The author conducted her research by using qualitative method and discourse analysis approach. The author also applied the theory of Cooperative Principle of Grice's Maxims and used movie as the data source.

The second previous research refers to Firdaus, Thahara, and Amelia (2017). Their journal tittle is "The Effect of Maxims Violation on the Level of Defendants' Trustworthiness in Giving Information during the Making of Investigation Reports : A

Case Study in Situbondo Resort Detective Office”. The authors are concerning to analyze the level of defendants’ trustworthiness in giving information during the making of investigation reports. The authors conducted their research by using qualitative method.

The third previous study was done by Cahyani and Budiati (2022). The journal title is “A Pragmatics Study of Cooperative Principles and Grice’s Maxims in Novel “The Secret Garden” By Frances Hodgson Burnett”. The author aimed to find out the violation of maxims that appear in the novel. The research used descriptive-qualitative method for collecting the data and applied the theory of Cooperative Principle Grice’s Maxims.

Compared to the previous studies, there are some various differences between the studies and this current studies, they are: the research questions, the object of research and the theory. In this research, the researcher concerned on violation of Maxims uttered by Diane Staudte during her interrogation in the “Interrogation of A Psychopathic Woman Video” on the Red Three Crime youtube channel. This research focused to identify the types of violation of Maxims and find out the reason of violation maxims done by Diane Staudte in Interrogation of A Psychopathic Woman Video.

Based on the background above, the researcher tries to analyze phenomenon of the violation of Maxims that includes why does Diane Staudte violate the maxims in Interrogation of A Psychopathic Woman Video by applied Pragmatics approach.

In this research, the researcher identifies the types of violation of maxims and the reason of violation of maxims done by Diane Staudte during her interrogation in Interrogation of A Psychopathic Woman. The data source will be taken from the video of Interrogation of A Psychopathic Woman video on Red Tree Crime YouTube channel (2022). In analyzing the data source the researcher will use the theory of violation of maxims by using Cooperative Principles Grice’s maxims theory purposed by Grice in Thomas (2013) for answering the research question number one and for answering the research question number two, the researcher will use the theory purposed by Tupan and Natalia (2008)

II. RESEARCH METHOD

The data were collected by taking note any utterances that indicating violation of maxims and the reasons Diane Staudte did during her interrogation. The theory of violation of maxims by Thomas (2013) and the reason’s theory by Tupan and Natlia (2008) were used to analyse the reason data. The researcher also applied

Spradly's (1980) theory as technique of data collecting. He presented a futur to analyze the qualitative study's content in his book "Participant Observation". There are four steps in analysis the reseach finding : Domain analysis, Taxocomical analysis, componential analysis, and Cultural theme analysis

III. FINDING

In this part, the results of the research presented in detail in the finding and discussion section. The answer of result divides the Research Finding and Discussion.

1. VIOLATION MAXIM OF QUALITY

According to Grice violation maxims refers to the speaker who are giving incorrect information, doing teasing, refusing any utterances, and changing the information. The examples of violation maxim of quality are in the following explanation.

1. Datum : 8 code 9:39

Detective: what do you think?

Diane: **I really do not know, I have, and I have been trying to wrap my brains, trying to figure out what happened**

The detective asked Diane opinion about what was actually going on with Sarah after she ran out to ER by Diane and Rachel. The detective tried to collect any information about Sarah mental issues, or asked whether Sarah even mentioned that she wanted to hurt herself and have the hospital been known about what was going on with Sarah.

From the dialogue above, Diane violated maxim of quality *by saying I really do not know*. She knows everything about what was going on with Sarah, because Diane and her another daughter, Rachel were sentenced to be in prison. They proved that they have tried to kill Sarah by poisoning her with anti-freeze. By saying *I've been trying to wrap my brains, trying to figure out what happened* she try to convince the detective that she had tried to think a lot to know what actually happened by making him believe that she gives him a correct information.

2. VIOLATION MAXIM OF QUANTITY

Violation maxim of quality refers to the speaker who are not telling the information as required, not cooperating to give any information, delivering in short or too much information, and replicating certain word. The researcher found some utterances that related to violation maxim of quantity. The example data of violation of quantity can see as follow:

2. Datum 36 code 08:02

Detective: what somewhat cleaner do you think it could have been that she would have been that she would have?

Diane: what do I have, I cannot see her taking soap. Umm ... the general you know every day cleaner I have some of that.

Detective: what would that mean?

Diane: what is the name of it? Lysol. I know I have that, but I do not...

Detective: could you even hurt yourself from soap or Lysol do you know?

Diane: I have no clue

Detective: right, I have just never heard of anything like that. I do not know

Diane: **I have not either it is like but I guess I do not know. I guess if you took enough, something would do something I have ever. I do not know**

The detective told Diane that he never heard about what kind of the type of soap that she has mentioned on the previous dialogue. Previously, they ever mentioned about any chemical substances, like soap that has a possibility drunk by Sarah

From the dialogue, Diane indicated to violate maxim of quantity by delivering unnecessary information. By saying I do not know is enough as required information. She was repeating utterance I do not know twice. As the guidance of violation maxim quantity refers to the speaker repeating the certain word of her utterance implicated that she try to hide the truth by convincing the detective and pleasing him by her utterance "I have not either it's like" to please him that she have not heard about the type of sop either as him

3. VIOLATION MAXIM OF RELATION

¹¹ Violation maxim of relation happens when the speaker does not utter the utterances related to the topic. When the utterances are out of the topic, the violation maxims of relevant are occurs. The examples of violation maxim of relation are in the following explanation

3. Datum 48 code 15:09

Detective: where are you nurse at?

Diane: I work out of the house I work for insurance company

Detective: Okay, what so you do for them?

Diane: I review claims

Detective: how long have you done that?

Diane: about 12 years

Detective: that was pretty good getting to work out of the house, right?

Diane: **I do not have to work holidays (hahaha)**

On the previous dialogue, the detective delivered some questions related to the Diane's profession as a nurse, such as, how long she has been a nurse and where she worked. Then,

the detective asked for her agreement that was pretty good getting to work out of the house.

On the dialogue, the researcher is discovering a violation maxim utter by Diane. Her utterances indicated a violation of ⁴ maxim of relation because her answer is not relevant with the question. The detective was asking for her agreement by saying right after his statement. Therefore, Diane should answer yes or no, but Diane's answer was not relate to the question. She tried to build a belief from the detective by violating ² maxim of relation.

4. VIOLATION MAXIM OF MANNER

Violation maxim of manner occurs when the addressee reply the question by giving an ambiguous answer. The conversation should not be ambiguous, blur, or distracted is the way of the participant who contributed ³ the maxim of manner. The examples of violation maxim of manner as followed

4. Datum 55 code 3:23

Detective: okay, so you brought her to the ER and that was Sunday?

Diane: that was Sunday.

Detective: night?

Diane: um... I must say afternoon

Detective: afternoon

Diane: it was still daylight

Detective: okay, so after you get home from church, you go in to check on her. She is still asleep but she just will not respond. She is completely unresponsive. What time about was that you got home from church?

Diane: Oh, I must say around 12:30

Detective: sometime you say in the afternoon, it was still light out. You remember about what time it was that you took her to ER.

Diane: **maybe three-s. Something likes that**

The detective tried to figure out the correct information about what was exactly the time Diane took Sarah to the ER. On the dialogue before, the detective asked her what time she got home after going to the church and checked Sarah on her room but she did not responds and Diane decided to take Sarah to the ER. Then, Diane said that he got home at 12.30.

From the conversation above, Diane violated maxim of manner by delivering an ambiguity answer. She said maybe, it indicated that she is not very sure with her answer. She did not remember what time exactly she took Sarah to hospital, she just remember that it was still daylight as she said at the previous dialogue before. She tried to hide the truth by delivering unclear utterance to convince the detective.

Table 1.
Finding

Violation Maxims		Reason								Total
Types	Frequency	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	
Maxim of Quality	27	25	-	-	1	-	-	3	11	40
Maxim of Quantity	19	12	3	-	5	1	-	1	5	27
Maxim of Relation	8	4	4	-	2	-	-	-	2	12
Maxim of Manner	28	16	12	-	3	1	-	1	7	40
Total =	82	57	19	-	11	2	-	5	25	119

Note

- R1= Hide the Truth
- R2= Save Face
- R3= Feel Jealous of Something
- R4= Satisfying the Addressee
- R5= Pleasing the Addressee
- R6= Avoiding to hurt the Addressee
- R7= Building One's Belief
- R8= Convincing the Addressee

From the componential analysis table 4.1 above, total result data of the reasons come up Diane Staudte violate maxims is 119 data. It can recapitulate that the most dominant type of violation maxims uttered that occurred is violation maxim of manner with total 28 data, followed by maxim of quality with total 27 data, then followed by maxim of quantity with total 19 data, and the least is maxim of relation which has total 8 data.

Then, the most dominant reason used by Diane Staudte is to hide the truth with 57 data, second followed by convincing the addressee which has 25 data, third followed by safe face with total 19 data, fourth followed by satisfying the addressee which has 11 data, then followed by building one's belief with has 5 data, and the least is pleasing the addressee with 2 data.

Violation Maxim of Quality

According to Grice violation maxims refers to the speaker who are giving incorrect information, doing teasing, refusing any utterances, and changing the information. The examples of violation maxim of quality are in the following explanation.

1. Datum 8 code 8-IPW-09:39

Detective: what do you think?

Diane: I really do not know, I have, I have been trying to wrap my brains, trying to figure out what happened

The detective asked Diane opinion about what was actually going on with Sarah after she ran out to ER by Diane and Rachel. The detective tried to collect any information about Sarah mental issues, or asked whether Sarah even mentioned that she wanted to hurt herself and have the hospital been known about what was going on with Sarah.

From the dialogue above, Diane violated maxim of quality by saying *I really do not know*. **She told lies that indicated violation maxim of quality**. She knows everything about what was going on with Sarah, because Diane and her another daughter, Rachel were sentenced to be in prison. They proved that they have tried to kill Sarah by poisoning her with anti-freeze. By saying *I've been trying to wrap my brains, trying to figure out what happened* she try to **hide the truth and convince the detective** that she had tried to think a lot to know what actually happened by making him believe that she gives him a correct information.

2 Violation Maxim of Quantity

Violation maxim of quality refers to the speaker who are not telling the information as required, not cooperating to give any information, delivering in short or too much information, and replicating certain word. The researcher found some utterances that related to violation maxim of quantity. The example data of violation of quantity can be seen as follow:

2. Datum 33 code 33-IPW-06:37

Detective: you know, so you don't think she is the type of person that would try to harm herself or ...

Diane: I really do not know, I do not know. Part of me says like that, but part of me says no, I do not know.

Detective: has she mention anything about, you know wanting to hurt herself?

Diane: no

On the previous dialogue, the detective asked Diane that Diane did not know that Sarah is the type of person that would try to harm herself. Then, Diane answered by saying "I did not know, part of me says like that, but part of me says no, I do not know". she want to emphasize that she did not know anything, and the detective asked her again by delivering a question, "has she mentioned anything about, you know wanting to hurt herself?" And she replied "no".

From the dialogue, Diane **violated maxim of quantity by rejecting to give whole information as need by** replying only word *no*. she did not mention anything as informative as required by the detective. By saying the word *no*, she try to **hide the truth** by rejecting to give a whole information.

Violation Maxim of Relation

¹¹ Violation maxim of relation happens when the speaker does not utter the utterances related to the topic. When the utterances is out of the topic, the violation maxims of relevant is occurs. The examples of violation maxim of relation are in the following explanation.

3. Datum 47 code 47-IPW-02:24

Detective: about what time was that on Saturday

Diane: oh that was in the afternoon

Detective: okay and then you remember what about what time it was that she went to bed?

Diane: oh gosh I do not know, I really do not know because I go to bed at 10 at night and she is usually still up

Detective: and then you woke up Sunday morning?

*Diane: **and she was asleep***

The detective asked about Sarah habit to Diane, like what time she went to bed and her daily activity. Then, Diane explained that she did not know about what time Sarah went to bed, because she went to bed at 10 at night. The detective asked her again whether she woke up on Sunday morning.

From the dialogue above, Diane violated maxim of relation. Her answer is not relevant with question was delivered by the detective. The detective asked about Diane if she woke up in the Sunday morning, not Sarah. However she answered that Sarah was still asleep. **She gave irrelevant information which is she indicated violation maxim of relation.** She violated the maxim of relation because she wants to **build a belief** from the detective himself.

² **Violation Maxim of Manner**

Violation maxim of manner occurs when the addressee reply the question by giving an ambiguous answer. The conversation should not be ambiguous, blur, or distracted is the way of the participant who contributed ³ the maxim of manner. The examples of violation maxim of manner as followed.

4. Datum 56 code 56-IPW-03:23

Detective: okay, so you brought her to the ER and that was Sunday?

Diane: that was Sunday.

Detective: night?

Diane: um.. I must say afternoon

Detective: afternoon

Diane: it was still daylight

Detective: okay, so after you get home from church, you go in to check on her. She is still asleep but she just will not respond. She is completely unresponsive. What time about was that you got home from church?

Diane: oh I must say around 12:30

Detective: sometime you say in the afternoon, it was still light out. You remember about what time it was that you took her to ER?

Diane: maybe three-s. Something like that

The detective tried to figure out the correct information about what was exactly the time Diane took Sarah to the ER. On the dialogue before, the detective asked her what time she got home after going to the church and checked Sarah on her room but she did not respond and Diane decided to take Sarah to the ER. Then, Diane said that he got home at 12.30

From the conversation above, **Diane violated maxim of manner by delivering an ambiguity answer.** She said maybe, it indicated that she is not very sure with her answer. She did not remember what time exactly she took Sarah to hospital, she just remember that it was still daylight as she said at the previous dialogue before. She tried **to hide the truth** by delivering unclear utterance to convince the detective.

DISCUSSION

Based on the research finding above, the research discovered all four types of violation of maxims with diverse total data in each type of violation of maxims.

The most dominant type of violation of maxims uttered by Diane Statute during her interrogation refers to violation maxims of manner, which has total 28 data. She violated maxim of manner mostly because she wanted to give unclear informations, so the detective would not suspect her that she was pretending not know anything. This research is similar with Chairunnisa and Natsir's research showing that Phill, the character who violated maxim of manner, **to trick the police officer through his utterance to make police gave the remission of punishment** (Chairunnisa & Natsir, 2014). In another research done by (Zebua, Rukmini, & Saleh, 2017), speakers violated maxim of manner by saying unclear and brief answer to confuse the addressee. They gave implied meaning by conversation by pretending to forget something to keep funny situation. From the all

researches above can conclude that the research objects violated maxim of manner to confuse the addressee.

Then the least type of violation maxims is violation maxim of relation which has total 8 data. In this situation, Diane violated maxim of relation because she wanted to change the topic of discussion and to avoid the detective to ask her for further informations. In some research, Stu is a character who violated maxim of relation tried to change the topic and avoid to discuss it (Chairunnisa & Natsir, 2014). They stated that the speaker may avoid responding the addressee question, the speaker may hide and avoid talking about something and prefer to change the topic because he did not do something. Besides, this violation may give the humor side among the conversation. This is close to what Ellen DeGeneres did in her TalkShow (Zebua, Rukmini, & Saleh, 2017). Ellen intentionally changed the topic abruptly because they avoid to discuss the question. It purposed to built self confidences.

In the reason, the most dominant reason found in this research is to hide the truth with fifteen data. In this research, Diane wanted the detective would set her free from any accusations and sent her to the prison by not giving the true informations. This is supported by (Farisha & Sakkeel, 2015) who said that people lie most the time in our daily life, because they are afraid that other people finding out the truth about them. They also added that people lie for many reasons: to get rid of the troubles or to escape from responsibilities.

The least reason is pleasing the addressee with only two data. Diane Staudte tried to please the detective by always answering and paying to attention his question well. In the role communication researcher, according to (Bucata & Rizescu, 2017) indicator of people pay attention to addressee: listen with their eyes first, and with their hearth and only then with the ears. People seek to understand the intent of communication without prejudice.

Based on the componential analysis table, Diane did not feel jealous of something because, there are not any thing that need to be jealous of. On the room was only her and a detective, there was nobody else so, she should not be jealous with anybody or anything. Diane also did not do reason avoiding to hurt the addressee because, she always answered the question well, cooperatively and politely.

From the results of the previous studies and the results of this current research, it can be seen that regardless of context, effect, functions, and reason people who violated maxim has many purposes which are not wanting to reveal the truth information.

CONCLUSION

Researcher resume that Diane Staudte violated all the types of maxims, such as: Violation maxims of quality, violaton maxim of quantity, violation maxim of relation, violation maxim of manner. Diane Staudte violated maxims in order to hide the truth, save face, satisfying the addressee, pleasing the addressee, building one's belief, and convincing the addressee. The researcher conclude that Diane Staudte most violated maxim of manner, Diane Staudte most violated maxims in order to hide the truth, Diane Staudte leastly violated maxims by pleasing the addressee.

IV. SUGGESTION

For the linguistic researcher, especially who focus on pragmatic approach? Studying about language phenomena which mean we are ready for dealing with the human culture that involve language itself and the language problem in it. In pragmatic, to analyze the communication in people daily conversation might be consider with the meaning and context.

For the future research who will be analyze the violation maxim in any literary work such as film, drama, novel, or on the spot communication in the society, the researcher suggest to use Cooperative Principle: Grice's maxims as the basic theory. Those may determine to compare and select the distinct theories focusing on the violation of maxims

REFERENCES

- ¹⁰ Arofah , S., & Mubarak , H. (2021). An Analysis of Violation and Flouting Maxim on Teacher-Student Interaction in English Teaching and Learning Process. *Language Circle*, 249-256.
- ⁶ Bucata, G., & Rizescu, A. M. (2017). The Role of Communication in Enhancing Work Effectiveness of an Organization. *De GRUYTER*, 49-57.
- Cahyani, R. S., & Budiati. ⁵ (2022). A Pragmatics Study of Cooperative Principles and grice's Maxims in Novel "The Secret Garden" by Frances Hodgson Burnet. *Praniti*, 127-137.
- ¹³ Chairunnisa, N., & Natsir, M. (2014). The Violation Maxims of main Characters in The Hangover Movie's Script. *Linguistica*, 156-167.

- 19 Christoffersen, D. (2005). *The Shameless Liar's Guide*. Naperville: Sourcebooks, Inc.
- Farisha, A., & Sakkeel, K. P. (2015). Psychology of Lying. *Indian Psychology*, 45-51.
- 1 Pirdaus, A. Y., Thahara, Y., & Amelia, F. (2017). The Effect of Maxim Violations on the Level of Defendants' Trustworthiness in Giving Information during the Making of Investigation Reports: a Case Study in Situbondo Resort Police office. *Proceedings*, 16-21.
- 15 Grice, H. (1975). *Logic and Conversation*. London: University College London.
- Maulana, M. A., & Malihah, N. (2022). 1 An Analysis of the Violation of Maxims in YouTube Channel Jeda Nulis. *IJELAL*, 209-220.
- 3 Mbisike, R. C. (2021). A Survey of Infringements of Gricean Maxims in Some Precautionary Inscriptions on Medicine Packets. *Journal of Pragmatics Research*, 160-172.
- 12 Pradani, A. F., & Sembodo, T. J. (2020). The Violation of Conversational Maxim in the Movie Series Divergent. *Lexicon*, 224-232.
- Putri, I. V., & Winarta, I. G. (2021). The Violation Maxim of Student in Faculty of Foreign Language, Mahasaraswati Denpasar University. *Language and Education Journal Undiksha*, 50-58..
- Spradley, J. (1980). *Participant Observation*. Florida: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Inc.
- 7 Thomas, J. (2013). *Meaning in Interaction: an Introduction to Pragmatics*. Pearson Education Limited .
- 1 Zebua, E., Rukmini, D., & Saleh, M. (2017). The Violation and Flouting of Cooperative Principle in Ellen Degeneres Talk Show. *Language Circle*, 103-113.

● **11% Overall Similarity**

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 11% Internet database
- 6% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	lib.unnes.ac.id Internet	2%
2	digilib.uinsby.ac.id Internet	2%
3	e-journal.iainsalatiga.ac.id Internet	1%
4	eprints.dinus.ac.id Internet	<1%
5	jurnal.unw.ac.id Internet	<1%
6	coursehero.com Internet	<1%
7	repository.radenintan.ac.id Internet	<1%
8	docplayer.net Internet	<1%
9	id.123dok.com Internet	<1%

10	philpapers.org Internet	<1%
11	etheses.uin-malang.ac.id Internet	<1%
12	Arbain Arbain, Godefridus Bali Geroda, Enno Audina Mulyono. "Maxim ... Crossref	<1%
13	ojs.unimal.ac.id Internet	<1%
14	journal.ugm.ac.id Internet	<1%
15	ejournal.uinsaizu.ac.id Internet	<1%
16	journal.widyatama.ac.id Internet	<1%
17	wej.unwir.ac.id Internet	<1%
18	researchgate.net Internet	<1%
19	digilib.unimed.ac.id Internet	<1%
20	eprints.umm.ac.id Internet	<1%
21	repository.usd.ac.id Internet	<1%